



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20521
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/414,454	10/07/1999	MURALIDHARAN RAMASWAMY	PHA-23.780	3317

7590

07/17/2002

CORPORATE PATENT COUNSEL
U S PHILIPS CORPORATION
580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591

EXAMINER

SHAH, SANJIV

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2172

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/414,454

Applicant(s)
Muralidharan et al.

Examiner
Sanjiv Shah

Art Unit
2172



- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 20, 2002
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle* 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15-19, 21-26, 28, and 29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 7-13, 15-19, and 21-24 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 25, 26, 28, and 29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of.
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s): _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s): _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-6, 25, 26, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sotiroff et al (Patent # 5,852,810) in view of WO 97/14054 (*Girerd).

With respect to claims 1, and 25, Sotiroff et al teaches a method for searching for information accessible through a client/server network containing a plurality of servers such as user searches specific geographic areas by selecting, on graphic maps specific areas of interest, see abstract, the method comprising connecting to one of the plurality of servers, such as information search system comprising World Wide Web home page for connection; receiving a graphical map of a geographic area from the server and displaying the graphical map on a display device such as system comprising script files for searching for housing information in a desired geographic region and the system also is configured to display a graphical map. With regard to claimed feature such as entering search criteria for locating at least one hypertext document based on graphic position Sotiroff further teaches the system that is configured to accept a query criteria and search a

Art Unit: 2172

database containing property listing for properties listed in the desired region meeting the entered search criteria; and further discloses that user is allowed to select properties from the map with a pointing device and subsequently view information describing the selected properties, see abstract.

Sotiroff et al teaches the claimed subject matter as discussed herein above, however, the prior art is silent about the claimed feature such as located hypertext document is accessible from another server different from the one server. However, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made that the hypertext document is accessible from World Wide Web home page to more than one server because the web page is accessible through the Internet using any standard Internet browser.

With respect to claim 2, such as claimed step of selecting the hypertext document included in the search result and downloading information relating to the selected hypertext document, Sotiroff et al teaches information describing the specific point of interest held in a database and can be accessed by the user selecting the corresponding point on the display, and user is allowed to build a list of points of interest and can be submitted for response, see col. 2, lines 25- 33.

With respect to claim 3, Sotiroff et al clearly discloses the hypertext document is a world wide web page and the computer network is the Internet, see abstract and col. 2, lines 18-23.

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to claim 4, Stotiroff et al discloses that the search system and method is performed as an aspect of executing a program for browsing the world wide web, see col. 2, lines 18-21.

With respect to claims 5, 6, and 26, Stotiroff et al does not clearly discloses that hypertext document includes geographic position information and has named based upon the geographic position information. Girerd teaches client-server based remote locator device comprising GPS receiver or positioning device as a remote server which is identified based on the identification code, see page 2 last two lines and first line of page 3. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to utilize GPS positioning device of Girerd into Stotiroff because positioning device such as GPS provides an indication of remote location superimposed on a map.

With respect to claims 28 and 29, Girerd teaches GPS receiver wherein user can display simple position report, e.g. latitude and longitude, see abstract.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 7-13, 15-19 and 21-24 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2172

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on June 20, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the cited prior art does not disclose a feature that includes geographic position information. Examiner disagrees. As cited in the office action with respect to claims 28 and 29, Girderd teaches GPS receiver wherein user can display simple position report, e.g. latitude and longitude, see abstract. Also, Stotiroff teaches users specific point of interest to be displayed as regard to claimed index including position information and web page names, see col. 2, lines 25-37.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2172

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanjiv Shah whose telephone number is (703) 305-8355.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Kim Yen Vu can be reached at (703) 305-4393. The fax number for this group is (703) 308-5403.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Sanjiv Shah

July 16, 2002



SANJIV SHAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER